Divalent η -arene(bis- η -allyl) derivatives of zirconium and hafnium *

Malcolm L.H. Green and Xavier Morise

Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR (UK) (Received January 21, 1993)

Abstract

The synthesis of the η -diene derivatives [Hf(η -1-methylcyclohexa-1,5-diene)(PMe_3)₂Cl₂] and the divalent zirconium and hafnium compounds [Zr(η -C₆H₅R)(η -C₃H₅)₂], where M = Zr, R = H, or M = Hf, R = H, Me is described.

1. Introduction

We have recently reported diene compounds of zirconium and hafnium of the type $[M(diene)(PMe_3)_2$ -Cl₂] [1]. It was concluded that the diene ligands in these compounds were best described as bonding to the metal by the $\sigma^2 \pi$ mode and, in consequence, the metal centre in these compounds is most appropriately described as d⁰. Here we describe further reactions of these compounds that give η -arene-zirconium and -hafnium compounds. Previous examples of compounds of this type are $[Zr_3Cl_6(\eta-C_6Me_6)]Cl$ [2], $[Zr(\eta-arene)_2(PMe_3)_2]$ [3], $[Zr(\eta-1,3,5^{-t}BuC_6H_3)_2]$ [4], $[Zr(\eta-arene)(AlCl_4)_2]$ [5], $[{Hf(PMe_2Ph)_2I_2}_2(\mu : \eta-arene)]$ [6], $[Zr(CH_2Ph)_3(\eta-C_6H_5BPh_3)]$ [7] and $[Zr(\eta-C_6H_5Me)(PMe_3)_2Cl_2]$ [1].

2. Results and discussion

The compounds $[M(\eta$ -cyclohexa-1,3-diene)(PMe₃)₂-Cl₂], (M = Zr, 1, or Hf, 2) were prepared by reduction of MCl₄ (M = Zr and Hf) in the presence of trimethylphosphine and cyclohexa-1,3-diene, as previously described. During an attempt to obtain improved yields of the compounds 1 and 2 it was found they were also formed when the cyclohexa-1,3-diene was replaced by cyclohexa-1,4-diene and despite the fact that an isomerisation reaction must have occurred, the yields were essentially the same. The related compound $[Hf(\eta-1-methylcyclohexa-1,5-diene)(PMe_3)_2Cl_2]$ (3) was prepared in the manner used for 1 and 2 but using the diene 2-methylcyclohexa-1,4-diene. The compound 3, and the other new compounds 4, 5 and 6 described below, have been characterised by the analytical and spectroscopic data given in Table 1.

Treatment of the compound 1 with allylmagnesium bromide at 0°C gave dark green microcrystals of the bis- η -allyl derivative $[Zr(\eta - C_6H_6)(\eta - C_3H_5)_2]$ (4) in 24% yield. The η -toluene compound [Hf(η -C₆H₅Me)- $(\eta - C_3 H_5)_2$] (6) has also been be prepared, by treatment of 3 with ally lmagnesium bromide. The bis- η -allyl compounds 4, 5 and 6 are soluble in petroleum ether; the solids and solutions rapidly decompose on exposure to air. Attempts to sublime the compounds in a vacuum led to decomposition. Repeated attempts to grow crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were unsuccessful. The data in Table 1 do not permit distinction between structures in which the η -allyl groups adopt the V-up disposition shown in Scheme 1, or the opposite V-down disposition. The mechanism of the formation of the compounds 4-6 is not obvious.

The compounds are formally analogous to the "naked" nickel compound $[Ni(\eta-C_3H_5)_2]$, in that the moiety $Zr(\eta$ -arene) and the Ni atom are both 10-electron systems. However, treatment of 5–7 variously with ethene, propene and, especially, butadiene produced no evidence of reactions analogous to those of $[Ni(\eta-C_3H_5)_2]$, and only slow decomposition was observed.

Correspondence to: Professor M.L.H. Green.

^{*} Dedicated to Professor M.F. Lappert on the occasion of his 65th birthday in recognition of his seminal contribution to organometallic chemistry.



Scheme 1. (i) Na/Hg, 2PMe₃, 16 h, 20%-30%; (ii) allylmagnesium bromide, $0-10^{\circ}$ C, 15 min.

The new reactions and the proposed structures are shown in the Scheme. Since the η^6 -arene ligand is formally regarded as neutral, the formal oxidation state of the metal centre in the compounds **4–6** is two, *i.e.* the metals are divalent, d².

3. Experimental section

All manipulations and reactions were carried out under a dinitrogen (< 10 ppm oxygen or water) by use of standard Schlenk-vessel and vacuum-line techniques or in a dry box.

Solvents were pre-dried over activated 5 Å molecu-

lar sieves and then distilled under an atmosphere of dinitrogen from potassium (tetrahydrofuran), sodium (toluene), sodium-potassium alloy [light petroleum (b.p. 40-60°C) and diethyl ether] or phosphorus pentaoxide (dichloromethane), under dinitrogen. Deuterated solvents for NMR samples were stored in ampoules over activated molecular sieves (C_6D_6) or with sodium ([²H₈] toluene) or dried over calcium hydride (CD_2Cl_2) and transfered by vacuum distillation.

The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 [¹H (300 MHz), ¹³C(75.43 MHz), ³¹P (121 MHz)] and referenced internally to residual protio solvent resonances (¹H and ¹³C) relative to tetramethylsilane ($\delta = 0$). Low resolution mass spectra were obtained on an AEI MS 302 mass spectrometer, updated by a data-handling system supplied by Mass Spectroscopy Services. Elemental analyses were performed by both the Analytical Laboratory in this Department and the Analytische Laboratorien (Gummersbach, Germany).

3.1. Synthesis of $[Hf(\eta^4-1-methyl-cyclohexa-1,5-diene)$ $(PMe_3)_2Cl_2]$ (3)

HfCl₄ (4 g, 12.5 mmol) in toluene (60 cm³) was treated with two equivalents of PMe₃ (2.8 cm³, 27 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred with sodium amalgam (0.6 g of Na in 30 cm³ of Hg) in the presence of an excess of 2-methyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene (5 g, 53 mmol) for 16 h. After filtration and extraction of residue into toluene (25 cm³) the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Extraction into petroleum ether (b.p. 40-60°C, 3×25 cm³) gave a red

TABLE 1. Analytical and spectroscopic data

Compound ^a	NMR data ^b
$\overline{3 [\text{Hf}(\eta^4-1-\text{Me-cyclohexa-1,5-diene)-(PMe_3)_2Cl_2]^c}$	$H^{1}:6.17 (m, 1H, H_{b}); 3.2 (m, 1H, H_{c \text{ or } f}); 2.78 (m, 2H, H_{d \text{ or } e \text{ endo}}); 2.4 (s, 3H);$
red	2.35 (m, 1H, $H_{c \text{ or } f}$); 2.25 (m, 2H, $H_{d \text{ and } e \text{ exo}}$); 1.07 (m, 18H)
C: 31.18 (31.5): H: 5.5 (5.69); Cl: 14.36 (14.3)	¹³ C {H ¹ }: 125.6 (Cq _a); 116.5 (CH _b); 79.7 (CH _{c or f}); 73.9 (CH _{c or f}); 29.7 (CH _{2d or e});
	28.6 (CH _{2 d or e}); 23.9 (C-Me) 12.9 (P-Me); 12.8 (P-Me) ³¹ P NMR: -15.9
$4 [Zr(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{6})(\eta-C_{3}H_{5})_{2}]^{\circ}$	H^{1} : 4.74 (tt, 2H, ³ J(H, H _{cis}) = 10 Hz, ³ J(H, H _{trans}) = 16 Hz);
dark green	3.68 (s, 6H); 3.28 (dm, 4H, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{cis}) = 10$ Hz); 0.72 (dm, 4H,
C: 56.96 (57.31); H: 6.03 (6.41)	${}^{3}J(H, H_{trans}) = 16 \text{ Hz})$
	¹³ C {H ¹ }: 108.7 (C-benzene); 94.3 (CH _{allvl}); 51.3 (CH ₂)
	H^{1} : 4.53 (tt, 2H, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{cis}) = 9 Hz$, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{trans}) = 15 Hz$);
$5 [Hf(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6)(\eta - C_3 H_5)_2]^e$	3.69 (s, 6H); 3.24 (dm, 4H, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{cis}) = 9$ Hz); 0.63 (dm, 4H,
purple	${}^{3}J(H, H_{trans}) = 15 \text{ Hz})$
C: 41.97 (42.55); H: 4.44 (4.76)	¹³ C: 105.2 (C-benzene, ¹ $J(CH) = 156$ Hz); 91.7 (CH _{allyl} , ¹ $J(CH) =$
	176 Hz); 50.6 (CH ₂ , ${}^{1}J$ (CH) = 150 Hz)
	H^{1} : 4.47 (tt, 2H, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{cis}) = 7 Hz$, ${}^{3}J(H, H_{trans}) = 16 Hz$); 4.09
6 [Hf(η^6 -C ₆ H ₅ Me)(η -C ₃ H ₅) ₂] ^f	$(m, 2H); 3.65 (m, 3H); 3.19 (dm, 4H, {}^{3}J(HHcis) = 7 Hz); 1.0 (s, 34);$
red-purple	$0.66 (\mathrm{dm}, 4\mathrm{H}, {}^{3}J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{trans}) = 16 \mathrm{Hz})$
C: 44.42 (44.26); H: 5.28 (5.14)	¹³ C {H ¹ }; 117.4 (C _{auat}): 108.18; 104.56; 85.11; 83.5; 51.08 (CH ₂); 21.1 (CH ₃).

^a Analytical data given as: found (calculated)%; ^b in C₆D₆. For labelling see Scheme 1; ^c MS m/z: 496 (M⁺), 410 (M⁺-PMe₃); ^d MS m/z: 252 (M⁺); ^e MS m/z: 340 (M⁺); ^f MS m/z 354 (M⁺), 262 (M⁺-toluene).

solution. The volume was reduced to ca. 30 cm³ and the Schlenk vessel kept at -20° C; to give red crystals of [Hf(1-methyl- η^4 -cyclohexadiene)(PMe₃)₂Cl₂]. Yield, 1.7 g, 27%.

3.2. Synthesis of $[Zr(\eta - C_6H_6)(\eta - C_3H_5)_2]$ (4)

The compound $[Zr(\eta^4$ -cyclohexadiene)(PMe₃)Cl₂](4 mmol) in Et₂O (15 cm³) was added to a stirred solution of allymagnesium bromide (8.4 mmol in Et₂O) at 0°C. The mixture instantly became deep red-purple and a pale precipitate separated. After 15 min stirring the temperature was allowed to rise to 10°C. Further stirring and higher temperatures result in lower yields. After removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure, extraction with petroleum ether (b.p. 40–60°C) gave a red solution, which on slow cooling gave deep green microcrystals of the analytically-pure product in 21% yield. The analogues of 4, namely 5 and 6, were prepared in an essentially identical manner. The yields were 37%, 5 and 21%, 6.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Royal Society for an exchange fellowship (to X.M).

References

- 1 G.M. Diamond, M.L.H. Green, N.M. Walker, J.A.K. Howard and S.A. Mason, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1992) 2641.
- 2 E.O. Fischer and F. Röhrscheid, J. Organomet. Chem., 6 (1966) 53; F. Stollmaier and U. Thewalt, J. Organomet. Chem., 208 (1981), 327.
- 3 F.G.N. Cloke and M.L.H. Green, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1979) 127.
- 4 F.G.N. Cloke, M.F. Lappert, G.A. Lawless and A.C. Swain, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1987) 1667.
- 5 F. Calderazzo, P. Pallavicini and G. Pamalomi, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1990) 1813.
- 6 F.A. Cotton, P.A. Kibala and W.A. Wojtczak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 1462.
- 7 M. Bochmann, G. Kargar and A.J. Jaggar, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1990) 1038.